# Tensor denoising and completion based on ordinal observations 

Chanwoo Lee<br>Joint work with Miaoyan Wang

Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin－Madison

IFDS，March 9， 2020

## Introduction: what is a tensor?

- Tensors are generalizations of vectors and matrices:


Scalar component Order-O


Vector Order-1


Matrix
Order-2


Tensor Order-3

- We focus on tensors of order 3 or greater, also called higher-order tensors.
- Denote an order- $K\left(d_{1}, \cdots, d_{K}\right)$ dimensional tensor as $\mathcal{Y}=\llbracket y_{\omega} \rrbracket \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{1} \times \cdots \times d_{K}}$ where $\omega \in\left[d_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[d_{K}\right]$.


## Introduction: Tucker decomposition

- Tucker decomposition
- Generalization of matrix SVD to higher orders.
- $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{C} \times{ }_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{1} \times_{2} \boldsymbol{M}_{2} \times_{3} \boldsymbol{M}_{3}$.
- Tucker rank of an order-3 tensor is defined as

$$
r(\mathcal{X})=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)
$$

- Degree of freedom (the number of parameters) is

$$
\sum_{k}\left(d_{k}-r_{k}\right) r_{k}+\prod_{k} r_{k} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{k} d_{k}\right) \text { when } r_{k}=\mathcal{O}(1)
$$



## Introduction: tensor data in applications

- Tensor in genomics.


Gene Expression Data


- Tensor in neuroimaging.

Patient 1
Patient 2


Brain Imaging Data


## Introduction: ordinal tensor data in applications

- Tensor in recommendation system (Baltrunas et al., 2011).
- Each entry $y_{\omega} \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}$

- Tensor in networks (Human Connectome Project (HCP)).
- Each entry $y_{\omega} \in\{$ high, moderate, low $\}$.



## Tensor-based learning is an active but challenging field

- Tensor decomposition (Anandkumar et al, JMLR'14; Wang and Song, AISTATS'17; Han and Zhang, JASA'19).
- Tensor regression (Zhou et al JASA'13; Chen, Raskutti, and Yuan, JMLR'20; Xu, Hu and Wang'19).
- Tensor denoising (Wang and Li'18; Hong, Kolda, and Duersch, SIAM AR'19; Zeng and Wang, NeurlIPS'19).
- Tensor completion (Montanari and Sun, CPAM'16; Zhang AOS'19; Ghadermarzy, Plan and Yilmaz, I\&A'19).

No existing method is able to analyze oridnal-valued tensors.

## Motivating problems

- How can we fill the missing ordinal values from the available tensor data?
- How many ordinal samples do we need to complete the tensor?

- This talk is based on: L. and M. Wang. Tensor denoising and completion based on ordinal observations. arXiv:2002.06524, 2020.


## Probabilistic model

- Goal: learn a probabilistic tensor from multi-way ordinal observations.
- Two key properties needed for a reasonable model.

1. The model should be invariant under a reversal of categories

$$
\text { like } \prec \text { neutral } \prec \text { dislike } \Longleftrightarrow \text { like } \succ \text { neutral } \succ \text { dislike } .
$$

2. The parameter interpretations should be consistent under merging or splitting of contiguous categories.

- Continuous tensor model lacks the first property.
- Binary tensor model lacks the second property.


## Probabilistic model

## Proposal: a cumulative link model.

- $[L]=\{1,2, \cdots, L\}$ denotes the ordinal level.
- Let $\mathcal{Y}=\llbracket y_{\omega} \rrbracket \in[L]^{d_{1} \times \cdots \times d_{K}}$ be an ordinal tensor. The entries $y_{\omega}$ are independently distributed with cumulative probabilities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(y_{\omega} \leq \ell \mid \boldsymbol{b}, \Theta\right)=f\left(b_{\ell}-\theta_{\omega}\right), \quad \text { for all } \ell \in[L-1] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ex) $f(x)=\frac{e^{x}}{1+e^{x}}$ is a logistic link.

- The additive, cumulative model enjoys two key properties for ordinal tensor data.
- If $f$ is a cumulative function,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(y_{\omega}=\ell\right)=f\left(b_{\ell}-\theta_{\omega}\right)-f\left(b_{\ell-1}-\theta_{\omega}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(b_{\ell-1}<y_{\omega}^{*} \leq b_{\ell}\right),
$$

where $\epsilon_{\omega} \stackrel{i . i . d}{\sim} f$ and $y_{\omega}^{*}=\theta_{\omega}+\epsilon_{\omega}$.

## Latent variable interpretation

- We can interpret the ordinal tensor model (1) as an $L$-level quantization model.

$+$

$\underbrace{\mathcal{E}}_{\text {i.i.d. noise }}$

$\underbrace{\mathcal{Y}^{*}}$
latent continuous-valued tensor
- Given intervals from the cut-off points vector $\boldsymbol{b}$.

$\mathcal{Y}^{*}$

$\mathcal{Y}$
$y_{\omega}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } y_{\omega}^{*} \in\left(-\infty, b_{1}\right], \\ 2, & \text { if } y_{\omega}^{*} \in\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right], \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ L, & \text { if } y_{\omega}^{*} \in\left(b_{L-1}, \infty\right),\end{cases}$


## Probabilistic model: assumptions on $f$

- The link function is assumed to satisfy:
- $f(\theta)$ is strictly increasing and twice-differentiable in $\theta$.
- $f^{\prime}(\theta)$ is strictly log-concave and symmetric with respect to $\theta=0$.
- Many cumulative functions satisfy the above two assumptions.


## Probabilistic model: assumptions on $\Theta$

- The parameter $\Theta$ admits the Tucker decomposition:

$$
\Theta=\mathcal{C} \times_{1} \boldsymbol{M}_{1} \times_{1} \cdots \times_{K} \boldsymbol{M}_{K},
$$

where $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{1} \times \cdots r_{K}}$ is a core tensor, $M_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{k} \times r_{k}}$ are factor matrices.

- Entries of $\Theta$ are uniformly bounded in magnitude by a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.


## Rank constrained M-estimation

- Let $\Omega \subset\left[d_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[d_{K}\right]$ denote the set of observed indices.
$\Omega$ could be the full set or incomplete set (for completion).
- The log-likelihood associated with the observations is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}(\Theta, \boldsymbol{b})=\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{\ell \in[L]}\left\{\mathbb{1}_{\left\{y_{\omega}=\ell\right\}} \log \left[f\left(b_{\ell}-\theta_{\omega}\right)-f\left(b_{\ell-1}-\theta_{\omega}\right)\right]\right\} .
$$

- We propose a rank-constrained maximum likelihood estimation for $\Theta$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\hat{\Theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}})=\underset{\Theta \in \mathcal{P}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathcal{B}}{\arg \max } \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}(\Theta, \boldsymbol{b}) \quad \text { where, } \\
\mathcal{P}=\{\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{1} \times \cdots \times d_{K}}: \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \boldsymbol{r},\|\Theta\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha, \underbrace{\langle\Theta, \mathcal{J}\rangle=0}_{\text {identifiability condition }}\}, \\
\mathcal{B}=\left\{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{L-1}:\|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{\infty} \leq \beta, \min _{\ell}\left(b_{\ell}-b_{\ell-1}\right) \geq \Delta\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $\mathcal{J}=\llbracket 1 \rrbracket \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{1} \times \cdots \times d_{K}}$ denotes a tensor of all ones.

## Algorithm

- The rank $\boldsymbol{r}$ is unknown $\Longrightarrow$ Bayesian information criterion (BIC).
- Non-convex problem $\Longrightarrow$ Alternating optimization approach.
- Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_{K}, \boldsymbol{b}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}(\Theta, \boldsymbol{b})$.

Algorithm 1: Alternating optimization
Result: Estimated $\Theta$, together with core tensor and factor matrices
Random initialization;
Repeat until converge;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}^{(n)}=\arg \max _{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n-1)}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n-1)}, \boldsymbol{b}^{(n-1)}\right) \\
& \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n)}=\arg \max _{\mathcal{M}_{1}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}\left(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{M}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n-1)}, \boldsymbol{b}^{(n-1)}\right) . \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& \mathcal{M}_{K}^{(n)}=\arg \max _{\mathcal{M}_{K}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}\left(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n)}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_{k}, \boldsymbol{b}^{(n-1)}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{b}^{(n)}=\arg \max _{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}\left(\mathcal{C}^{(n)}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n)}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_{k}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{b}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

end

- There is no guarantee on global optimality.


## Algorithm

- However, our theoretical results hold as long as $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}(\hat{\Theta}) \geq \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}, \Omega}\left(\Theta^{\text {true }}\right)$.
- The algorithm performs well in simulations and data applications.



## Theoretical results: tensor denoising

- Tensor denoising:
- (Q1) How accurately can we estimate the latent signal tensor $\Theta$ from the ordinal observation $\mathcal{Y}$ ?


## Theoretical results: tensor denoising

- Tensor denoising:
- (Q1) How accurately can we estimate the latent signal tensor $\Theta$ from the ordinal observation $\mathcal{Y}$ ?
$\triangleright(\mathrm{A} 1)$ Let us define $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta^{\text {true }}\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{k} d_{k}}\left\|\hat{\Theta}-\Theta^{\text {true }}\right\|_{F}^{2}$.


## Statistical convergence (L. and Wang, 2020)

With very high probability, our estimator $\hat{\Theta}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta^{\text {true }}\right) \leq \min \left(4 \alpha^{2}, c_{1} r_{\max }^{K-1} \frac{\sum_{k} d_{k}}{\prod_{k} d_{k}}\right)
$$

where $c_{1}=c(f, K)>0$ is a constant.

- We also have general results for incomplete data, or unknown bases.

[^0]
## Theoretical results: tensor denoising

- Tensor denoising:
- (Q1') Is this bound optimal?


## Theoretical results: tensor denoising

- Tensor denoising:
- (Q1') Is this bound optimal?
- (A1')


## Minimax lower bound (L. and Wang, 2020)

Under some mild technical conditions,

$$
\inf _{\hat{\Theta} \in \mathcal{P}} \sup _{\Theta^{\text {true }} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P}\left\{\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta^{\text {true }}\right) \geq c \min \left(\alpha^{2}, C r_{\max } \frac{d_{\max }}{\prod_{k} d_{k}}\right)\right\} \geq \frac{1}{8}
$$

where $C=C(\alpha, L, f, \boldsymbol{b})>0$ and $c>0$ are constants independent of tensor dimension and the rank.

- So our estimation bound is rate-optimal.


## Theoretical results: tensor completion

- Tensor completion:
- (Q2) How many sampled entries do we need to consistently recover $\Theta$ ?


## Theoretical results: tensor completion

## - Tensor completion:

- (Q2) How many sampled entries do we need to consistently recover $\Theta$ ?
- (A2) Let us define $\|\Theta-\hat{\Theta}\|_{F, \Pi}^{2}=\sum_{\omega \in\left[d_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[d_{K}\right]} \pi_{\omega}\left(\Theta_{\omega}-\hat{\Theta}_{\omega}\right)^{2}$.


## Sample complexity (L. and Wang, 2020)

Let $\left\{y_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ be the ordinal observation, where $\Omega$ is chosen at random with replacement according to a probability distribution $\Pi$. Then, with very high probability,

$$
\|\Theta-\hat{\Theta}\|_{F, \Pi}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \quad \frac{|\Omega|}{\sum_{k} d_{k}} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

- We allow both uniform and non-uniform sampling.
- The number of free parameters is roughly on the order of $\sum_{k} d_{k}$.
- The sample complexity $|\Omega| \gg \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{k} d_{k}\right)$ is almost optimal.


## Theoretical results: summary

- Let $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \cdots \times d}$ be an order- $K$, $L$-level ordinal tensor.

|  | Bhaskar (2016) | Ghadermarzy et al. (2018) | This paper |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher-order tensors $(K \geq 3)$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Multi-level categories $(L \geq 3)$ | $\checkmark$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Error rate for tensor denoising | $d^{-1}$ for $K=2$ | $d^{-(K-1) / 2}$ | $d^{-(K-1)}$ |
| Optimality guarantee | unkonwn | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |
| Sample complexity for completion | $d^{K}$ | $K d$ | $K d$ |

## Simulations

- The decay in the error appears to behave on the order of $d^{-2}$.
- A larger estimation error is observed when the signal is too small or large.
- There is a big improvement from $L=2$ to $L \geq 3$.


Figure: the relative MSE $=\left\|\hat{\Theta}-\Theta^{\text {true }}\right\|_{F} /\left\|\Theta^{\text {true }}\right\|_{F}$ for better visualization.

## Simulations

- We compare our method to other 4 alternatives.
- Our method outperforms across a range of missingness and ordinal levels.



## Data application: Human Connectome Project (HCP)

- An ordinal tensor consisting of structural connectivities among 68 brain nodes for 136 individuals (Van Essen et al., 2013).
- Each entry $y_{\omega} \in\{$ high, moderate, low $\}$.
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- The clustering based on the estimated $\hat{\Theta}$ identifies 11 (3+8) clusters among 68 brain nodes. \#dusteing


## Data application: Human Connectome Project (HCP)

- The BIC suggests $\boldsymbol{r}=(23,23,8)$.
- The clustering based on the estimated $\hat{\Theta}$ identifies $11(3+8)$ clusters among 68 brain nodes.

```
* clustering
```

- The top three clusters capture the global separation among brain nodes.



## Data application: Human Connectome Project (HCP)

- The BIC suggests $\boldsymbol{r}=(23,23,8)$.
- The clustering based on the estimated $\hat{\Theta}$ identifies $11(3+8)$ clusters among 68 brain nodes. \# dustaing
- The top three clusters capture the global separation among brain nodes.

- The small clusters represent local regions driving by similar nodes.


## Data application: InCarMusic

- An tensor recording the ratings from 42 users to 139 songs on 26 contexts (Baltrunas et al., 2011).
- Each entry is a rating on a scale of 1 to $5\left(y_{\omega} \in\{1,2,3,4,5\}\right)$.

(a) Tracks Proposed (b) Rating a Track (c) Editing the User (d) Configuring the to Play

Profile
Recommender

## Data application: HCP, InCarMusic

- Our method achieves lower prediction error than others.

| Method |  | Ordinal-T (ours) | Continuous-T | 1bit-sign-T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCP | MAD | $0.1607(0.005)$ | $0.2530(0.0002)$ | $0.3566(0.0010)$ |
|  | MCR | $0.1606(0.005)$ | $0.1599(0.0002)$ | $0.1563(0.0010)$ |
| InCarMusic | MAD | $1.37(0.039)$ | $2.39(0.152)$ | $0.59(0.003)$ |
|  | MCR | $0.59(0.009)$ | $0.94(0.027)$ | $0.81(0.005)$ |

Table: Comparison of prediction error based on cross-validation (10 repetitions, 5 foldes). Standard errors are reported in parentheses. MAD: mean absolute error; MCR: misclassification error.

## Summary

- We propose a cumulative probabilistic model for ordinal tensor observations.
- The model achieves optimal convergence rate and nearly optimal sample complexity.
- The model has good interpretation and prediction performance in HCP and $\operatorname{InCarMusic}$ application.
- Future work:
- Analysis of algorithmic error (global vs local).
- Robustness of the model.
- Thank you!
- L. and M. Wang. Tensor denoising and completion based on ordinal observations. arXiv:2002.06524, 2020.


## Unknown b case

- We make the following assumptions about the link function.


## Assumption 1

The link function $f: \mathbb{R} \mapsto[0,1]$ satisfies the following properties:

1. $f(z)$ is twice-differentiable and strictly increasing in $z$.
2. $\dot{f}(z)$ is strictly log-concave and symmetric with respect to $z=0$.

- We define the following constants that will be used in the theory:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\alpha, \beta, \Delta}=\max _{|z| \leq \alpha+\beta} \max _{\substack{z^{\prime} \leq z-\Delta \\
z^{\prime \prime} \geq z+\Delta}} \max \left\{\frac{\dot{f}(z)}{f(z)-f\left(z^{\prime}\right)}, \frac{\dot{f}(z)}{f\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)-f(z)}\right\} \\
& D_{\alpha, \beta, \Delta}=\max _{|z| \leq \alpha+\beta} \max _{\substack{z^{\prime} \leq z-\Delta \\
z^{\prime \prime} \geq z+\Delta}} \max \left\{-\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\dot{f}(z)}{f(z)-f\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\dot{f}(z)}{f\left(z^{\prime \prime}\right)-f(z)}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{\alpha, \beta, \Delta}=\min _{|z| \leq \alpha+\beta} \min _{z^{\prime} \leq z-\Delta}\left(f(z)-f\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

## Unknown b case

- We have the following theorem corresponding to Theorem 1 in known $\boldsymbol{b}$ case.


## Theorem 1 (Statistical convergence with unknown b)

With very high probability,

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\Theta}, \Theta^{\text {true }}\right) \leq \min \left(4 \alpha^{2}, c_{1} r_{\max }^{K-1} \frac{L-1+\sum_{k} d_{k}}{(L-1) \prod_{k} d_{k}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{b}}, \boldsymbol{b}^{\text {true }}\right) \leq \min \left(4 \beta^{2}, c_{1} r_{\max }^{K-1} \frac{L-1+\sum_{k} d_{k}}{(L-1) \prod_{k} d_{K}}\right)
$$

where $c_{1}, C_{\alpha, \beta, \Delta}, D_{\alpha, \beta, \Delta}$ are positive constants independent of the tensor dimension, rank, and number of ordinal levels.

## Clustering method

- In matrices case,

1. Perform singular value decomposition,

$$
X=U \Sigma V^{T}
$$

where $\Sigma$ is a diagonal matrix and $U, V$ are factor matrices with orthogonal columns.
2. Take each column of $V$ as a principal axis and each row in $U \Sigma$ as principal component.
3. A subsequent multivariate clustering method (such as $K$-means) is then applied to the $m$ rows of $U \Sigma$.

## Clustgering method

- In tensors case,

1. Perform Tucker decompostion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Theta}=\hat{\mathcal{C}} \times_{1} \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{1} \times_{2} \cdots \times_{K} \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{K}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The mode- $k$ matricization of (2) gives

$$
\hat{\Theta}_{(k)}=\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{k} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{(k)}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{K} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{1}\right),
$$

3. Take each column in $\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{K} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{1}\right)$ as principal axis and each row in $\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{k} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{(k)}$ as principal component.
4. A subsequent multivariate clustering method (such as $K$-means) is then applied to the $d_{k}$ rows of the matrix $\hat{\boldsymbol{M}}_{k} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{(k)}$.
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